

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,874	09/25/2001	Arun Jayaraman	TRIPP033	9192	
22434 75	590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS LLP		CHOE, HENRY		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY.	CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,874	09/963,874 JAYARAMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
· .	Henry K Choe	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	n reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 June 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)∭ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi			s is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,19-22,25,30-32,37-42</u>	and 47 is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-18,23,24,26-29,33-36 an</u>	<u>d 43-46</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2003. 	· · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/963,874

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4, 19-22, 25, 30, 37-40 and 47 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tripathi et al (of record) for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 31, 32, 41 and 42 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tripathi et al (of record) for reasons of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-18, 23, 24, 26-29, 33-36 and 43-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/10/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Fig. 10 of Tripathi et al fails to show a particular configuration of filters, i.e., filtering circuitry for selectively passing a transmit band and second filtering circuitry for selectively passing a receive band as claimed, and Fig. 10 of Tripathi shows a frequency selective network 1002 as a single unit in a signal processor 1000. However, examiner totally disagrees with this statement. The

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/963,874

Art Unit: 2817

frequency selective network 1002 in Fig. 10 of Tripathi et al inherently includes the first

and second filtering circuitries for selectively passing the transmit band and receive

band respectively since the frequency selective network 1002 would not work without

these filtering circuitries which is well known in the art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571)272-1760.

HENRY CHOE